

$SO(4)$ Monopole As A New Topological Invariant And Its Topological Structure

Sheng Li*

CCAST(World Lab), P.O.Box 8730, Beijing 100080, P. R. China

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica, P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100080, P. R. China[†]

Yishi Duan[‡]

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P. R. China

(November 9, 1998)

By making use of the decomposition theory of gauge potential, the inner structure of $SU(2)$ and $SO(4)$ gauge theory is discussed in detail. We find the $SO(4)$ monopole can be given via projecting the $SO(4)$ gauge field onto an antisymmetric tensor. This projection fix the coset $SU(2)/U(1) \otimes SU(2)/U(1)$ of $SO(4)$ gauge group. The generalized Hopf map is given via a Dirac spinor. Further we prove that this monopole can be consider as a new topological invariant. Which is composed of two monopole structures. Local topological structure of the $SO(4)$ monopole is discussed in detail, which is quantized by winding number. The Hopf indices and Brouwer degree labels the local property of the monopoles.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is generally believed, as conjectured by Mandelstam [1] and 't Hooft, that magnetic monopoles are essential for confinement in QCD and related gauge theories. For example, compact QED with a lattice cut off is known to be exactly dual to a Coulomb gas of monopoles, which upon condensation causes confinement via a dual Meisner effect [3]. Similarly there is evidence for the role of monopole condensation in the 3-d Yang-Mills-Higgs (or Georgi-Glashow) model [4] and in 4-d N=2 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory [5].

Magnetic monopoles arise when the Higgs configuration has non-trivial topology at spatial infinity. For a theory with gauge group G broken into residue group H , topologically non-trivial configurations are possible when the second homotopy group of vacuum manifold, namely $\Pi_2(G/H)$, is non-trivial. Lots of works are done to understand the structure and the metric properties of monopole solitons. In order to search for a so-called non-propagating gauge condition in the non-Abelian theory, 't Hooft [2] suggest that one should use some tensor X , that transforms covariantly under a gauge transformation Ω :

$$X' = \Omega X \Omega^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

*Corresponding author. Email: lisheng@itp.ac.cn

[†]Mailing address

[‡]Email: ysduan@lzu.edu.cn

The eigenvalues of X are gauge invariant. One can search a gauge in which X is diagonal

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_N \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

This gauge condition produces singularities. The singularities occur when two or more eigenvalues coincide. The nature of these singularities depend on the gauge group. When the gauge group is $SU(N)$ in the gauge (2) there are singularities, namely if two eigenvalues λ of X coincide, which form isolated points in 3-space. However, if the gauge group is an orthogonal group $SO(N)$, then at non-singular points (2) fixes the gauge completely, and the singular points, with two coinciding eigenvalues, form strings in 3-space. These represent Nielson-Olesen vortices [6]. So the point like singularities in 3-space or monopole of the group $SO(N)$ can not be gotten under this gauge. Therefore finding gauge condition with only point-like singularities for $SO(N)$ is crucial.

In this paper, we find the gauge condition for $SO(4)$ monopole can be gotten via projecting the $SO(4)$ gauge field onto an antisymmetric tensor in close analogy to isospin breaking in the 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole construction [7,8]. This projection fix the coset $SU(2)/U(1) \otimes SU(2)/U(1)$ of $SO(4)$ gauge group. The $SO(4)$ monopole obtained is found composed of left and right monopoles, each of which has the similar structure as that of $SU(2)$ monopole. In the discussion, the decomposition theory of gauge potential plays an important role. This theory has been effectively used to study the magnetic monopole problem in $SU(2)$ gauge theory [9], the topological gauge theory of dislocation and disclination in condensed matter physics [10], the topological structure of space-time defect [11] and the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern(*GBC*) theorem [12,13]. The inner structure of $SU(2)$ and $SO(4)$ gauge field in terms of vectors or tensors gives a deep understanding of construction of the monopoles. By making use of a Dirac spinor, the $SO(4)$ monopole is proved to be $U(1) \times U(1)$ invariant via a generalized Hopf map $S^3 \times S^3 \rightarrow S^2 \times S^2$. Further we show that the $SO(4)$ monopole can be considered as a new topological invariant. Using the so-called ϕ -mapping method, it is verified that the monopole density take the form of a generalized function $\delta(\phi)$. The positions of the monopoles are determined by the zeroes of the self-dual or anti-self-dual part of an antisymmetric tensor. The monopole density is further found as multi-monopoles system by detailed the structure of delta function, which is labeled by the Hopf index the Brouwer degree of the antisymmetric tensor field. Moreover, the direct connection between monopole charges and Winding number is obtained. In this construction the $SO(4)$ monopole charge density current is proved taken the same form as the current density of a system of multi classical point particles.

The organization of the present paper is as follows: In section 2 we start from the decomposition theory of $SU(2)$ gauge potential and the construction of $SU(2)$ magnetic monopole for the convenience of the later discussion of $SO(4)$ monopole. In section 3, the decomposition theory of $SO(4)$ gauge potential is given. Using the inner structure of $SO(4)$ gauge field, we give the construction of the $SO(4)$ monopole. Then in section 4, we show the $SO(4)$ monopole can be consider as a new topological invariant. A detailed discussion of the topological structure of the monopole is given in Section 5. At last, we give a short summary and some concluding remarks in section 6.

II. DECOMPOSITION THEORY OF $SU(2)$ GAUGE POTENTIAL AND MAGNETIC MONOPOLE

Now we firstly give the decomposition theory of $SU(2)$ gauge potential for the convenience of further discussion of the $SO(4)$ monopoles. The decomposition theory of gauge potential is a powerful tool in the research of the topology of gauge field theory. One of the essential features of this theory is the gauge potential and gauge field possess inner structure, or in other words, they can be composed of some element fields. For the vector fields and tensor fields carry important information of topology, the inner structure of the gauge field in terms of vector fields or tensor fields can reveal the topological properties of the gauge theory more deeply and directly. In this section, it will be seen that the $SU(2)$ magnetic monopole can be induced from decomposition theory naturally.

Let n be an unit $SU(2)$ Lie algebra vector

$$n = n^A I_A, \quad A = 1, 2, 3; \quad (3)$$

and

$$n^A n^A = 1, \quad (4)$$

in which I_A is the generator of the group $SU(2)$, which satisfies the commutation relation

$$[I_A, I_B] = -\epsilon^{ABC} I_C. \quad (5)$$

The covariant derivative 1-form of n is given by

$$D_{SU(2)} n = dn - [\omega_{SU(2)}, n], \quad (6)$$

where $\omega_{SU(2)}$ is the $SU(2)$ gauge potential 1-form:

$$\omega_{SU(2)} = \omega_{SU(2)}^A I_A, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\omega_{SU(2)}^A = \omega_{SU(2)\mu}^A dx^\mu \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (8)$$

The gauge field 2-form is

$$F_{SU(2)} = F_{SU(2)}^A I_A = d\omega_{SU(2)} - \omega_{SU(2)} \wedge \omega_{SU(2)}, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{SU(2)}^A &= \frac{1}{2} F_{SU(2)\mu\nu}^A dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \\ &= d\omega_{SU(2)}^A + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ABC} \omega_{SU(2)}^B \wedge \omega_{SU(2)}^C. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Let $m = m^A I_A$, $l = l^A I_A$ be another two unit $SU(2)$ vectors orthonormal to n and satisfy

$$n^A = \epsilon^{ABC} m^B l^C, \quad (11)$$

i.e. n, m, l are orthonormal to each other. It can be proved that the $SU(2)$ gauge potential can be decomposed by n, m, l as

$$\omega_{SU(2)}^A = \epsilon^{ABC} (dn^B n^C - D_{SU(2)} n^B n^C) - n^A A, \quad (12)$$

where

$$A = dm^A l^A - D_{SU(2)} m^A l^A. \quad (13)$$

From simple calculus, the curvature (gauge field) 2-form $F_{SU(2)}^A$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} F_{SU(2)}^A &= n^A dA + dn^A \wedge A + \epsilon^{ABC} n^B dD_{SU(2)} n^C \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ABC} dn^B \wedge dn^C + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ABC} D_{SU(2)} n^B \wedge D_{SU(2)} n^C. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

For n^A, m^A, l^A form a basis of the vector space. We have

$$F_{SU(2)}^A = (F_{SU(2)}^B n^B) n^A + (F_{SU(2)}^B m^B) m^A + (F_{SU(2)}^B l^B) l^A. \quad (15)$$

Substituting (14) into (15), $F_{SU(2)}^B$ is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{SU(2)}^A &= (dA(n) - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{DBC} n^D dn^B \wedge dn^C + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{DBC} n^D D_{SU(2)} n^B \wedge D_{SU(2)} n^C) n^A \\ &\quad + (dA(m) - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{DBC} m^D dm^B \wedge dm^C + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{DBC} m^D D_{SU(2)} m^B \wedge D_{SU(2)} m^C) m^A \\ &\quad + (dA(l) - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{DBC} l^D dl^B \wedge dl^C + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{DBC} l^D D_{SU(2)} l^B \wedge D_{SU(2)} l^C) l^A. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The above decomposition and inner structure of the $SU(2)$ gauge field is strict and without any gauge condition. It can be proved this inner structure has global properties [13], which is independent of the choice of coordinate. From the formula (16), it's clear that the $SU(2)$ gauge field is composed of three monopoles on three orthonormal directions. Therefore, arbitrary direction projection of the gauge field will give a monopole structure.

In general, the gauge field of $SU(2)$ magnetic monopole can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F} &= F_{SU(2)}^A n^A - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ABC} n^A D_{SU(2)} n^B \wedge D_{SU(2)} n^C \\ &= n^A dA - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ABC} n^A dn^B \wedge dn^C. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

This projection can be considered as a gauge condition which fix the gauge field on a given direction n^A . In this form, the maximal abelian projection is given. Use the first pair of Maxwell's equation

$$\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = -4\pi j^\mu; \quad (18)$$

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} F_{\lambda\rho}. \quad (19)$$

The magnetic charge current is defined as

$$j^\mu = \frac{1}{8\pi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \varepsilon^{ABC} \partial_\nu n^A \partial_\lambda n^B \partial_\rho n^C. \quad (20)$$

This expression is of a topological nature since it is conserved automatically

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = \frac{1}{8\pi} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \varepsilon^{ABC} \partial_\mu (\partial_\nu n^A \partial_\lambda n^B \partial_\rho n^C) \equiv 0 \quad (21)$$

due to a complete antisymmetry of the Levi-Civita symbol. Hence the total magnetic charge Q_m

$$Q_m = \int j^\mu dV_\mu \quad (22)$$

is a purely topological quantity.

Now we see the decomposition theory of $SU(2)$ gauge potential gives the construction of magnetic monopole in a nature way. In next section we will show how to construct the $SO(4)$ monopole using the decomposition theory of $SO(4)$ gauge potential.

III. INNER STRUCTURE OF $SO(4)$ GAUGE FIELD AND $SO(4)$ MONOPOLE

Now let us consider the $SO(4)$ gauge theory. Let the 4-dimensional Dirac matrix γ_a ($a = 1, 2, 3, 4$) be the basis of the Clifford algebra which satisfies

$$\gamma_a \gamma_b + \gamma_b \gamma_a = 2\delta_{ab}. \quad (23)$$

The antisymmetric tensor field ϕ^{ab} on $\mathbf{M}$ can be expressed in the following matrix form

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2} \phi^{ab} I_{ab}, \quad (24)$$

in which I_{ab} is the generator of the group $SO(4)$

$$I_{ab} = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma_a, \gamma_b]. \quad (25)$$

Similarly, the spin connection (gauge potential) 1-form and curvature (gauge field) 2-form can be expressed as

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \omega^{ab} I_{ab}, \quad F = \frac{1}{2} F^{ab} I_{ab}. \quad (26)$$

It is well known that the spin representation of $SO(4)$ group is isomorphic to the direct product of the representations of two $SU(2)$ group

$$so(4) \cong su_L(2) \otimes su_R(2). \quad (27)$$

Therefore we can get $SO(4)$ monopole via fixing the coset $SU_L(2)/U_L(1) \otimes SU_R(2)/U_R(1)$ which should be composed of the left and right monopoles

$$\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}_L + \tilde{F}_R. \quad (28)$$

In the following, we will show how to achieve this goal.

The generators of $SO(4)$ group can be divided into two terms. Each term is a generator of $SU(2)$ group. Define

$$I_L^1 = \frac{1}{2}I_{23}(1 - \gamma_5) \quad I_R^1 = \frac{1}{2}I_{23}(1 + \gamma_5); \quad (29)$$

$$I_L^2 = \frac{1}{2}I_{31}(1 - \gamma_5) \quad I_R^2 = \frac{1}{2}I_{31}(1 + \gamma_5); \quad (30)$$

$$I_R^3 = \frac{1}{2}I_{12}(1 - \gamma_5) \quad I_R^3 = \frac{1}{2}I_{12}(1 + \gamma_5), \quad (31)$$

in which $\gamma_5 = \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4$. It can be proved $I_{L(R)}^A (A = 1, 2, 3)$ satisfy the commutation relation of group $SU(2)$

$$I_{L(R)}^A = -\epsilon^{ABC}[I_{L(R)}^B, I_{L(R)}^C], \quad (32)$$

and

$$[I_L^A, I_R^B] = 0. \quad (33)$$

Therefore $I_{L(R)}^A$ are the generators of the groups $SU(2)_{L(R)}$, and they are the basis of $SU(2)_{L(R)}$ Lie algebra spaces.

Arbitrary antisymmetric tensor field ϕ^{ab} can be decomposed as

$$\phi^{ab} = \phi_L^{ab} + \phi_R^{ab}, \quad (34)$$

where

$$\phi_L^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abcd}\phi^{cd}) \quad \phi_R^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abcd}\phi^{cd}) \quad (35)$$

are the self-dual and anti-self-dual parts of ϕ^{ab} . Define

$$\phi_L^1 = \phi^{23} + \phi^{14}, \quad \phi_L^2 = \phi^{31} + \phi^{24}, \quad \phi_L^3 = \phi^{12} + \phi^{34}; \quad (36)$$

$$\phi_R^1 = \phi^{23} - \phi^{14}, \quad \phi_R^2 = \phi^{31} - \phi^{24}, \quad \phi_R^3 = \phi^{12} - \phi^{34}. \quad (37)$$

We can rewrite ϕ as

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2}\phi^{ab}I_{ab} = \phi_L + \phi_R, \quad (38)$$

and

$$||\phi_{L(R)}|| = \phi_{L(R)}^A \phi_{L(R)}^A = \phi_{L(R)}^{ab} \phi_{L(R)}^{ab}, \quad (39)$$

in which ϕ_L and ϕ_R are just the components of ϕ correspond to $SU_L(2)$ and $SU_R(2)$ Lie algebra

$$\phi_L = \frac{1}{2}\phi(1 - \gamma_5) = \phi_L^A I_L^A, \quad (40)$$

$$\phi_R = \frac{1}{2}\phi(1 + \gamma_5) = \phi_R^A I_R^A. \quad (41)$$

With the similar decomposition as above it can be verified that

$$D\phi = D\phi_L + D\phi_R = d\phi_L - [\omega_L, \phi_L] + d\phi_R - [\omega_R, \phi_R]. \quad (42)$$

For the independence of I_L and I_R we have

$$D\phi_{L(R)} = d\phi_{L(R)} - [\omega_{L(R)}, \phi_{L(R)}], \quad (43)$$

or in components representation

$$D_{L(R)}\phi_{L(R)}^A = d\phi_{L(R)}^A - \epsilon^{ABC}\omega_{L(R)}^B\phi_{L(R)}^C. \quad (44)$$

in which

$$\omega_L = \omega_L^A I_L^A \quad \omega_R = \omega_R^A I_R^A \quad (45)$$

is the gauge potential of $SU(2)_{L(R)}$ gauge field.

Similarly the curvature 2-form can be decomposed as

$$F = F_L + F_R, \quad (46)$$

and

$$F_L = d\omega_L - \omega_L \wedge \omega_L \quad F_R = d\omega_R - \omega_R \wedge \omega_R. \quad (47)$$

$F_{L(R)} = F_{L(R)}^A I_{L(R)}^A$ are the curvature 2-forms of $SU(2)_{L(R)}$ gauge field.

Define an antisymmetric tensor

$$n^{ab} = \frac{\phi_L^{ab}}{||\phi_L||} + \frac{\phi_R^{ab}}{||\phi_R||}, \quad (48)$$

i.e.

$$n_L^{ab} = \frac{\phi_L^{ab}}{||\phi_L||}, \quad n_R^{ab} = \frac{\phi_R^{ab}}{||\phi_R||}. \quad (49)$$

which has the properties

$$n^{ab}n^{ab} = 2 \quad \varepsilon^{abcd}n^{ab}n^{cd} = 0. \quad (50)$$

Then

$$n = \frac{1}{2}n^{ab}I_{ab} = n_L + n_R \quad (51)$$

and

$$n_L = \frac{1}{2||\phi_L||}\phi(1 - \gamma_5) = n_L^A I_L^A, \quad (52)$$

$$n_R = \frac{1}{2||\phi_R||}\phi(1 + \gamma_5) = n_R^A I_R^A. \quad (53)$$

It naturally guarantees the constraint

$$n_{L(R)}^A n_{L(R)}^A = 1. \quad (54)$$

i.e. $n_{L(R)}^A$ are the $SU(2)_{L(R)}$ unit vectors.

From the decomposition formula of $SU(2)$ gauge potential (14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{L(R)}^A &= n_{L(R)}^A dA_{L(R)} + dn_{L(R)}^A \wedge A + \epsilon^{ABC} n_{L(R)}^B dD_{L(R)} n_{L(R)}^C \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ABC} dn_{L(R)}^B \wedge dn_{L(R)}^C + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ABC} D_{L(R)} n_{L(R)}^B \wedge D_{L(R)} n_{L(R)}^C. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Now we can define the left and right $SO(4)$ monopoles as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_{L(R)} &= F_{L(R)}^A n_{L(R)}^A - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ABC} n_{L(R)}^A D_{L(R)} n_{L(R)}^B \wedge D_{L(R)} n_{L(R)}^C \\ &= n_{L(R)}^A dA_{L(R)} - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ABC} n_{L(R)}^A dn_{L(R)}^B \wedge dn_{L(R)}^C. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Through simple computation, we can get the gauge field of $SO(4)$ monopole

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F} &= \tilde{F}_L + \tilde{F}_R \\ &= F^{ab} n^{ab} + n^{ab} D n^{ac} \wedge D n^{cb} \\ &= n^{ab} dn^{ac} \wedge dn^{cb} + d(A_L + A_R). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

In this way the coset $SU(2)_L/U(1)_L \otimes SU(2)_R/U(1)_R$ of $SO(4)$ group is fixed. The corresponding monopole charge current is

$$\begin{aligned} j^\mu &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \partial_\nu \tilde{F}_{\lambda\rho} \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \partial_\nu n^{ab} \partial_\lambda n^{ac} \partial_\rho n^{cb}, \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

which is also conserved automatically

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \partial_\mu (\partial_\nu n^{ab} \partial_\lambda n^{ac} \partial_\rho n^{cb}) \equiv 0 \quad (59)$$

The total monopole charge

$$Q_m = \int j^\mu dV_\mu = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int dn^{ab} \wedge dn^{ac} \wedge dn^{cb} \quad (60)$$

is a purely topological quantity.

On another hand, the inner structure of $SO(4)$ gauge field can be gotten from the decomposition theory of gauge potential as

$$F^{ab} = dn^{ac} \wedge dn^{cb} - Dn^{ac} \wedge Dn^{cb} - n^{ac} dDn^{cb} + n^{bc} dDn^{ca} \\ + dA_L n_L^{ab} + dA_R n_R^{ab} - A_L \wedge Dn_L^{ab} - A_R \wedge Dn_R^{ab}. \quad (61)$$

Then use three antisymmetric orthogornal antisymmetric tensors n^{ab} , m^{ab} , l^{ab}

$$n^{ab} m^{ab} = n^{ab} l^{ab} = m^{ab} l^{ab} = 0, \\ \varepsilon^{abcd} n^{ab} m^{cd} = \varepsilon^{abcd} n^{ab} l^{cd} = \varepsilon^{abcd} m^{ab} l^{cd} = 0 \quad (62)$$

and

$$n^{ab} n^{ab} = m^{ab} m^{ab} = l^{ab} l^{ab} = 2, \\ \varepsilon^{abcd} n^{ab} n^{cd} = \varepsilon^{abcd} m^{ab} m^{cd} = \varepsilon^{abcd} l^{ab} l^{cd} = 0, \quad (63)$$

we have

$$F^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(F^{cd} n^{cd}) n^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}(F^{cd} m^{cd}) m^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}(F^{cd} l^{cd}) l^{ab} \\ + \frac{1}{2}(F^{cd} n^{*cd}) n^{*ab} + \frac{1}{2}(F^{cd} m^{*cd}) m^{*ab} + \frac{1}{2}(F^{cd} l^{*cd}) l^{*ab}, \quad (64)$$

in which n^{*ab} , m^{*ab} and l^{*ab} are the dual tensors of n^{ab} , m^{ab} , l^{ab}

$$n^{*ab} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} n^{cd} \quad m^{*ab} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} m^{cd} \quad l^{*ab} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} l^{cd}. \quad (65)$$

Substituting the inner structure (61) into (64), we get

$$F^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(n^{dc} dn^{de} \wedge dn^{ec} - n^{dc} Dn^{de} \wedge Dn^{ec} + dA_L(n) + dA_R(n)) n^{ab} \\ + \frac{1}{2}(m^{dc} dm^{de} \wedge dm^{ec} - m^{dc} Dm^{de} \wedge Dm^{ec} + dA_L(m) + dA_R(m)) m^{ab} \\ + \frac{1}{2}(l^{dc} dl^{de} \wedge dl^{ec} - l^{dc} Dl^{de} \wedge Dl^{ec} + dA_L(l) + dA_R(l)) l^{ab} \\ + \frac{1}{2}(n^{*dc} dn^{*de} \wedge dn^{*ec} - n^{*dc} Dn^{*de} \wedge Dn^{*ec} + dA_L(n^*) + dA_R(n^*)) n^{*ab} \\ + \frac{1}{2}(m^{*dc} dm^{*de} \wedge dm^{*ec} - m^{*dc} Dm^{*de} \wedge Dm^{*ec} + dA_L(m^*) + dA_R(m^*)) m^{*ab} \\ + \frac{1}{2}(l^{*dc} dl^{*de} \wedge dl^{*ec} - l^{*dc} Dl^{*de} \wedge Dl^{*ec} + dA_L(l^*) + dA_R(l^*)) l^{*ab}. \quad (66)$$

So we see from the above formula the gauge field is composed of six $SO(4)$ monopoles in three orthogornal tensor directions and their dual directions. The monopole projection onto the dual tensor of n^{ab} is just the difference of the left and right monopole projection onto n^{ab}

$$\tilde{F}(n^*) = \tilde{F}(n)_L - \tilde{F}(n)_R. \quad (67)$$

Given a Dirac spinor

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix}. \quad (68)$$

Satisfying

$$\psi_L^\dagger \psi_L = 1 \quad \psi_R^\dagger \psi_R = 1. \quad (69)$$

Define the antisymmetric tensor n^{ab} by

$$n^{ab} = \psi^\dagger I_{ab} \psi. \quad (70)$$

It is easy to prove n^{ab} can be regard as the antisymmetric tensor defined in (48) satisfying

$$n^{ab} n^{ab} = 2 \quad \varepsilon^{abcd} n^{ab} n^{cd} = 0. \quad (71)$$

The self-dual part of n^{ab} is

$$n_L^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\psi^\dagger I_{ab} \psi + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{abcd} \psi^\dagger I_{cd} \psi). \quad (72)$$

It's easy to prove the above equation can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} n_L^{ab} &= \frac{1}{2} \psi^\dagger I_{ab} (1 - \gamma^5) \psi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^\dagger I_{ab} (1 - \gamma^5) \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Similarly we have

$$n_R^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix}^\dagger I_{ab} (1 + \gamma^5) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix}. \quad (74)$$

The $SO(4)$ monopole gauge field $\tilde{F}$ (57) becomes

$$\tilde{F} = id\psi^\dagger d\psi + d(A_L + A_R) = id\psi_L^\dagger d\psi_L + id\psi_R^\dagger d\psi_R + d(A_L + A_R). \quad (75)$$

Therefore, given a transformation

$$\psi' = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} I & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi} I \end{pmatrix} \psi. \quad (76)$$

It is easy to prove the gauge field 2-form $\tilde{F}$ is invariant under this transformation, therefore $\tilde{F}$ given here is $U(1) \times U(1)$ invariant.

The condition (69) give a direct product of two S^3 sphere

$$S^3 \times S^3, \quad (77)$$

and the condition (54) gives a product of two S^2 sphere

$$S^2 \times S^2. \quad (78)$$

The definition (70) actually gives a generalized Hopf map [15,16]

$$S^3 \times S^3 \rightarrow S^2 \times S^2. \quad (79)$$

IV. $SO(4)$ MONOPOLE AS A NEW TOPOLOGICAL INVARIANT

A topological invariant should have two properties. First, it has to be gauge invariant. And second, it should be gauge independent, or in other words, it has the same value under arbitrary gauge conditions. In the follows we will prove the gauge field of $SO(4)$ monopole indeed possess these two properties.

Firstly, under arbitrary gauge transformation, the curvature 2-form F^{ab} transform covariantly as

$$F'^{ab} = S^{ac} F^{cd} S^{db} \quad (80)$$

and the covariant derivative 1-form of the antisymmetric tensor n^{ab} transform covariantly also

$$D' n'^{ab} = S^{ac} D n^{cd} S^{cb}, \quad (81)$$

where S^{ab} is the element of the $SO(4)$ gauge group satisfying

$$S^{ac} S^{cb} = \delta^{ab}. \quad (82)$$

Hence we can prove the new topological invariant $\tilde{F}$ is $SO(4)$ gauge invariant, i.e.

$$\tilde{F}' = \tilde{F}. \quad (83)$$

Then let us prove the gauge independence property of $\tilde{F}$. Given two $SO(4)$ spin connections ω_0 and ω_1 , the corresponding monopole gauge field 2-forms are

$$\tilde{F}(\omega_0) = n^{ab} dn^{ac} \wedge dn^{cb} + dA_L(\omega_0) + dA_R(\omega_0) \quad (84)$$

and

$$\tilde{F}(\omega_1) = n^{ab} dn^{ac} \wedge dn^{cb} + dA_L(\omega_1) + dA_R(\omega_1). \quad (85)$$

Then the difference between $\tilde{F}(\omega_0)$ and $\tilde{F}(\omega_1)$ is just an exact 2-form

$$\tilde{F}(\omega_1) - \tilde{F}(\omega_0) = d(A_L(\omega_1) + A_R(\omega_1) - A_L(\omega_0) - A_R(\omega_0)). \quad (86)$$

Thus the integrals of $\tilde{F}(\omega_0)$ and $\tilde{F}(\omega_1)$ over a closed 2-dimensional surface give the same results and hence we prove $\tilde{F}$ is independent of the gauge potential. The above two properties, i.e. gauge invariance and independence of gauge potential, make the integral of our monopole gauge field 2-form over a closed 2-dimensional surface a topological invariant.

At last we get the new topological invariant Q

$$Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma^2} \tilde{F} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{V^3} d\tilde{F} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{V^3} dn^{ab} \wedge dn^{ac} \wedge dn^{cb}, \quad (87)$$

in which $\Sigma^2 = \partial V^3$.

In another hand the monopole charge is given by integral

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_m &= \int_V j^\mu dV_\mu \\
&= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int_V \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \partial_\nu \tilde{F}_{\lambda\rho} dV_\mu \\
&= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_V d\tilde{F}.
\end{aligned} \tag{88}$$

Now we see the monopole charge and the new topological invariant is the same in fact but a minus sign

$$Q_m = -Q. \tag{89}$$

V. THE LOCAL TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURE OF THE $SO(4)$ MONOPOLE

Define left and right monopole charges as

$$Q_{mL(R)} = \int_V j_{L(R)}^\mu dV_\mu = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_V \epsilon^{ABC} dn_{L(R)}^A \wedge dn_{L(R)}^B \wedge dn_{L(R)}^C. \tag{90}$$

The total monopole charge is

$$Q_m = Q_{mL} + Q_{mR}. \tag{91}$$

Let $y = (u^1, u^2, u^3, \tau)$ be another term of the coordinate of M and $u = (u^1, u^2, u^3)$ be the intrinsic coordinate of V . For the coordinate component v does not belong to V . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{mL(R)} &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_V \epsilon^{ABC} \partial_i n_{L(R)}^A \partial_j n_{L(R)}^B \partial_k n_{L(R)}^C du^i \wedge du^j \wedge du^k \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_V \epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon^{ABC} \partial_i n_{L(R)}^A \partial_j n_{L(R)}^B \partial_k n_{L(R)}^C d^3u,
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

where $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ and $\partial_i = \partial/\partial u^i$. Then the monopole charge densities can be defined as

$$\rho_{L(R)} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon^{ABC} \partial_i n_{L(R)}^A \partial_j n_{L(R)}^B \partial_k n_{L(R)}^C. \tag{93}$$

We get

$$Q_{mL(R)} = \int_V \rho_{L(R)} d^3u. \tag{94}$$

For the equation (52), the unit vectors $n_{L(R)}^A(x)$ can expressed as follows:

$$n_{L(R)}^A = \frac{\phi_{L(R)}^A}{\|\phi_{L(R)}\|}. \tag{95}$$

Hence

$$dn_{L(R)}^A = \frac{1}{\|\phi_{L(R)}\|} d\phi_{L(R)}^A + \phi_{L(R)}^A d\left(\frac{1}{\|\phi_{L(R)}\|}\right), \tag{96}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{L(R)}^A} \left(\frac{1}{\|\phi_{L(R)}\|} \right) = - \frac{\phi_{L(R)}^A}{\|\phi_{L(R)}\|^3}. \quad (97)$$

Substituting above equations into the monopole charge density (93) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \epsilon^{ABC} \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_i (n_{L(R)}^A \partial_j n_{L(R)}^B \partial_k n_{L(R)}^C) \\ &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \epsilon^{ABC} \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_i \frac{\phi_{L(R)}^A}{\|\phi_{L(R)}\|^3} \partial_j \phi_{L(R)}^B \partial_k \phi_{L(R)}^C \\ &= -\frac{1}{8\pi} \epsilon^{ABC} \epsilon^{ijk} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{L(R)}^D} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{L(R)}^A} \left(\frac{1}{\|\phi_{L(R)}\|} \right) \partial_i \phi_{L(R)}^D \partial_j \phi_{L(R)}^B \partial_k \phi_{L(R)}^C. \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

Define the Jacobian $D(\frac{\phi_{L(R)}}{u})$ as

$$\epsilon^{ABC} D\left(\frac{\phi_{L(R)}}{u}\right) = \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_i \phi_{L(R)}^A \partial_j \phi_{L(R)}^B \partial_k \phi_{L(R)}^C. \quad (99)$$

By making use of the Laplacian relation in ϕ -space

$$\partial_A \partial_A \frac{1}{\|\phi_{L(R)}\|} = -4\pi \delta^3(\phi_{L(R)}), \quad \partial_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{L(R)}^A}, \quad (100)$$

we can write the monopole charge density as the δ -like expression

$$\rho_{L(R)} = \delta^3(\phi_{L(R)}) D\left(\frac{\phi_{L(R)}}{u}\right) \quad (101)$$

and

$$Q_{mL(R)} = \int_V \delta^3(\phi_{L(R)}) D\left(\frac{\phi_{L(R)}}{u}\right) d^3u. \quad (102)$$

It obvious that $\rho_{L(R)}$ are non-zero only when $\phi_{L(R)} = 0$.

Suppose that $\phi_{L(R)}^A(x)$ ($A = 1, 2, 3$) possess $K_{L(R)}$ isolated zeros, according to the implicit function theorem, the solutions of $\phi_{L(R)}(u^1, u^2, u^3, \tau) = 0$ can be expressed in terms of $u = (u^1, u^2, u^3)$ as

$$u^i = z_{L(R)}^i(\tau), \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (103)$$

and

$$\phi_{L(R)}^A(z_l^1(\tau), z_l^2(\tau), z_l^3(\tau), \tau) \equiv 0, \quad (104)$$

where the subscript $l = 1, 2, \dots, K_{L(R)}$ represents the l th zero of $\phi_{L(R)}^A$, i.e.

$$\phi_{L(R)}^A(z_{L(R)l}^i) = 0, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, K_{L(R)}. \quad (105)$$

It is easy to get the following formula from the ordinary theory of δ -function that

$$\delta^3(\phi_{L(R)})D\left(\frac{\phi_{L(R)}}{u}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{K_{L(R)}} \beta_{L(R)l} \eta_{L(R)l} \delta^3(u - z_{L(R)l}) \quad (106)$$

in which

$$\eta_{L(R)l} = \text{sign}D\left(\frac{\phi_{L(R)}}{u}\right)|_{x=z_{L(R)l}} = \pm 1, \quad (107)$$

is the Brouwer degree of ϕ -mapping and $\beta_{L(R)l}$ are positive integers called the Hopf index of map $\phi_{L(R)}$ which means while the point x covers the region neighboring the zero $x = z_{L(R)l}$ once, $\phi_{L(R)}$ covers the corresponding region $\beta_{L(R)l}$ times. Therefore the slid angle density becomes

$$\rho_{L(R)} = \sum_{l=1}^{K_{L(R)}} \beta_{L(R)l} \eta_{L(R)l} \delta^3(u - z_{L(R)l}) \quad (108)$$

and

$$Q_{mL(R)} = \sum_{l=1}^{K_{L(R)}} \beta_{L(R)l} \eta_{L(R)l} \int_V \delta^3(u - z_{L(R)l}) d^3u = \sum_{l=1}^{K_{L(R)}} \beta_{L(R)l} \eta_{L(R)l}. \quad (109)$$

Therefore the total $SO(4)$ monopole charge is

$$Q_m = \sum_{l=1}^{K_L} \beta_{Ll} \eta_{Ll} + \sum_{l=1}^{K_R} \beta_{Rl} \eta_{Rl}. \quad (110)$$

We find that (108) is the exact density of a system of K_L and K_R classical point-like objects with “charge” $\beta_{Ll} \eta_{Ll}$ and $\beta_{Rl} \eta_{Rl}$ in space-time, i.e. the topological structure of $SO(4)$ monopole charge density formally corresponds to a point-like system. These point objects may be called topological particles which are identified with the isolated zero points of vector field $\phi_{L(R)}^A(x)$.

Using similar way it can be proved the monopole charge current density can be expressed as

$$j^\mu = \sum_{l=1}^{K_L} \beta_{Ll} \eta_{Ll} \delta^3(u - z_{Ll}) \frac{D^\mu\left(\frac{\phi_L}{x}\right)}{D\left(\frac{\phi_L}{u}\right)} + \sum_{l=1}^{K_R} \beta_{Rl} \eta_{Rl} \delta^3(u - z_{Rl}) \frac{D^\mu\left(\frac{\phi_R}{x}\right)}{D\left(\frac{\phi_R}{u}\right)}, \quad (111)$$

where the $D^\mu\left(\frac{\phi_{L(R)}}{x}\right)$ is the Jacobian vector

$$\epsilon^{ABC} D^\mu\left(\frac{\phi_{L(R)}}{u}\right) = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} \partial_\nu \phi_{L(R)}^A \partial_\lambda \phi_{L(R)}^B \partial_\rho \phi_{L(R)}^C. \quad (112)$$

It can be proved the general velocity of the i th zero is

$$V_l^\mu = \left. \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} \right|_{x=z_l} = \left. \frac{D^\mu\left(\frac{\phi}{x}\right)}{D\left(\frac{\phi}{u}\right)} \right|_{x=z_l}, \quad (113)$$

then

$$j^\mu = \sum_{l=1}^{K_L} \beta_{Ll} \eta_{Ll} \delta^3(u - z_{Ll}) \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} + \sum_{l=1}^{K_R} \beta_{Rl} \eta_{Rl} \delta^3(u - z_{Rl}) \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau}. \quad (114)$$

which is in the same form as the classical current density of the system of $K_L + K_R$ point particles moving in the space-time.

On another hand, the winding number of the surface Σ and the mapping $n_{L(R)}$ is defined as [14]

$$W_{L(R)} = \oint_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{8\pi} \epsilon^{ABC} \frac{\phi_{L(R)}^A}{||\phi_{L(R)}||^3} d\phi_{L(R)}^B \wedge d\phi_{L(R)}^C, \quad (115)$$

which is equal to the number of times Σ encloses (or, wraps around) the point $\phi_{L(R)} = 0$. Hence, the monopole charge is quantized by the winding numbers

$$Q_{mL(R)} = W_{L(R)}. \quad (116)$$

The winding number $W_{L(R)}$ of the surface Σ can be interpreted or, indeed, defined as the degree of the mappings $\phi_{L(R)}$ onto Σ . By (102) and (101) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{mL(R)} &= \int_V \delta(\phi_{L(R)}) \rho_{L(R)} \left(\frac{\phi_{L(R)}}{u} \right) d^3u \\ &= \deg \phi_{L(R)} \int_{\phi_{L(R)}(V)} \delta(\phi_{L(R)}) d^3\phi_{L(R)} \\ &= \deg \phi_{L(R)}, \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

where $\deg \phi_{L(R)}$ are the degrees of map $\phi_{L(R)} : V \rightarrow \phi_{L(R)}(V)$. Compared above equation with (116), it shows the degrees of map $\phi_{L(R)} : V \rightarrow \phi_{L(R)}(V)$ is just the winding number $W_{L(R)}$ of surface Σ and map $\phi_{L(R)}$, i.e.

$$\deg \phi_{L(R)} = W_{L(R)}(\Sigma, \phi_{L(R)}). \quad (118)$$

Then the total $SO(4)$ monopole charge is

$$Q_m = \deg \phi_L + \deg \phi_R = W_L + W_R. \quad (119)$$

Divide V by

$$V = \sum_{l=1}^{K_{L(R)}} V_{L(R)l} \quad (120)$$

so that $V_{L(R)l}$ includes only one zero $z_{L(R)l}$ of $\phi_{L(R)}$, i.e. $z_{L(R)l} \in V_{L(R)l}$. The winding number of the surface $\Sigma_{L(R)l} = \partial V_{L(R)l}$ and the mapping $n_{L(R)}$ is defined as

$$W_{L(R)l} = \oint_{\Sigma_{L(R)l}} \frac{1}{8\pi} \epsilon^{ABC} \frac{\phi_{L(R)}^A}{||\phi_{L(R)}||^3} d\phi_{L(R)}^B \wedge d\phi_{L(R)}^C, \quad (121)$$

which is equal to the number of times $\Sigma_{L(R)l}$ encloses (or, wraps around) the point $\phi_{L(R)} = 0$. It is easy to see that

$$W_{L(R)} = \sum_{l=1}^{K_{L(R)}} W_{L(R)l} \quad (122)$$

and

$$|W_{L(R)l}| = \beta_{L(R)l}. \quad (123)$$

Then

$$Q_m = \sum_{l=1}^{K_L} W_{Ll} + \sum_{l=1}^{K_R} W_{Rl}. \quad (124)$$

Also we can write

$$Q_m = (N_L^+ + N_R^+) - (N_L^- + N_R^-), \quad (125)$$

in which $N_{L(R)}^\pm$ are the sums of the Hopf indexes with respect to $\eta_{L(R)} = \pm 1$. We see that while x covers V once, $\phi_{L(R)}^A$ must cover $\phi_{L(R)}(V)$ $N_{L(R)}^+$ times with $\eta = 1$ and $N_{L(R)}^-$ times with $\eta = -1$.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have provide a gauge condition via projecting the $SO(4)$ gauge field onto an antisymmetric tensor field to fix the coset $SU(2)_L/U(1)_L \otimes SU(2)_R/U(1)_R$ of $SO(4)$ group. Under this gauge condition the $SO(4)$ monopole is constructed which is composed of two monopoles, namely left and right monopoles. Each of these monopoles has the similar structure as that of the $SU(2)$ magnetic monopoles. We also discussed the topological properties of this monopole and find it can be consider as a new topological invariant. Using a Dirac spinor, we give a generalized Hopf map $S^3 \times S^3 \rightarrow S^2 \times S^2$. The detailed local topological structure is given. It's the monopole charge is quantized topologically by winding number. The Hopf indices and Brouwer degree characterize the monopoles.

The decomposition theory of gauge potential play an important role in the discussion. The monopole structure can be induced from the inner structure of the gauge field easily. It is again a proof the success of the decomposition theory. We assume that the $SO(N)$ ($N > 4$) monopole structure may be induced by making use of this theory in analogous way.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of P. R. China.

- [1] S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rep. C23, 245 (1976).
- [2] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B190 (1981) 455.
- [3] M.E. Peskin, Ann. of Phys. 113 122 (1978).
- [4] A.M. Polyakov Phys. Lett. B59, 82 (1975); Nucl. Phys. B120 429 (1977).
- [5] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B426 19 (1994).
- [6] H.B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, Nucl. Phys. B61 (1973) 45.
- [7] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B79 (1974) 276.
- [8] A.M. Polyakov, JETP Lett 20 (1974) 194.
- [9] Y.S. Duan and M.L. Ge, Sci. Sinica 11 (1979) 1072.
- [10] Y.S. Duan and S.L. Zhang, Int. J. Eng. Sci. 28 (1990) 689; 29 (1991) 153; Int. J. Eng. Sci. 30 (1992) 153.
- [11] Y.S. Duan, S.L. Zhang and S.S. Feng, J. Math. Phys. 35 (1994) 1; Y.S. Duan, G.H. Yang and Y. Jiang, Gen. Rel. Grav. 29 (1997) 715; Y.S. Duan, G.H. Yang and Y. Jiang, Helv. Phys. Acta. 70 (1997) 565.
- [12] Y.S. Duan and X.H. Meng, J. Math. Phys. **34** 1149 (1993); Y.S. Duan and X.G. Lee, Helv. Phys. Acta. 68 (1995) 513.
- [13] Y.S. Duan and S. Li, *Decomposition Theory of Spin Connection, Topological Structure of Gauss-Bonnet-Chern Topological Current and Morse Theory*, in *Jingshin Theoretical Physics Symposium in Honor of Professor Ta-You Wu* World Scientific (1998).
- [14] Victor Guillemin and Alan Pollack, in *Differential Topology*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1974.
- [15] L.H. Ryder, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 13 (1980) 437.
- [16] M. Minami, Prog. Theor. Phys. 62 (1979) 1128.